

□ The Oncologist

Publisher: Oxford University Press **Focus:** Clinical oncology, including medical, radiation, and surgical oncology. Increasing interest in radiogenomics, AI, and “translational” studies. **Indexing:** PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus **Impact Factor (2024):** ~5.2 (mid-tier)

Strengths:

- Accessible clinical focus — not just bench science.
- Willing to publish hybrid studies involving AI, radiomics, and treatment outcomes.
- Maintains good editorial standards and ethical transparency.

Weaknesses:

- Increasing tolerance for **hype-heavy, validation-light studies**, especially involving machine learning.
- Often features **studies with modest sample sizes** dressed up in technical vocabulary.
- Peer review sometimes misses methodological shallowness masked by buzzwords like “deep learning,” “radiogenomics,” or “real-world data.”

Tone of the Journal: > Serious — but easily seduced by novelty. Think of it as **JCO's younger cousin who just discovered Python and heatmaps**.

Bottom Line: *The Oncologist* is a **respectable mid-tier journal** for clinical oncology — but with a growing tendency to publish **translational window dressing**. Perfect for papers that look like breakthroughs, as long as no one reads the methods too closely.

→ Best consumed critically. Preferably with a red pen and a reality filter.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=the_oncologist

Last update: **2025/06/22 04:34**

