

Study Classification

I. By Purpose

- **Descriptive:** Describes characteristics or events.

Example: Prevalence of TBI in a population.

- **Analytical:** Tests hypotheses and looks for associations.

Example: Smoking and glioblastoma correlation.

- **Exploratory:** Investigates new or poorly understood areas.

Example: Unusual symptoms in post-COVID patients.

- **Explanatory:** Attempts to explain mechanisms or causation.

Example: Role of IDH mutation in glioma prognosis.

II. By Design

A. Observational Studies

- **Cross-sectional:** One-time snapshot.

Pros: Fast, low-cost.

Cons: No temporal or causal inference.

* **Case-control**: Retrospective, comparing affected vs. unaffected.

*

Pros: Good for rare diseases.

Cons: Recall and selection bias.

* **Cohort**: Follows exposed vs. unexposed over time.

*

Pros: Strong evidence for causality.

Cons: Expensive, long-term.

* **Ecological**: Based on group/population data.

*

Note: Risk of ecological fallacy.

B. Experimental Studies

- **Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT)**: Gold standard for intervention studies.
- **Non-randomized Trial**: Allocation not random; higher risk of bias.
- **Crossover Trial**: Same subjects receive all interventions in sequence.

III. By Timing

- **Prospective:** Follows subjects into the future.
- **Retrospective:** Uses past data to analyze outcomes.
- **Ambispective:** Combines both.

IV. By Data Type

- **Quantitative:** Numerical data (e.g., lab results, scores).
- **Qualitative:** Textual/descriptive (e.g., interviews, observations).
- **Mixed Methods:** Combination of both.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=study_classification

Last update: **2025/06/03 10:09**

