

## □ Spine Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT)

- [Sacral fracture risk after stereotactic spinal radiosurgery: a multi-institution, retrospective analysis](#)
- [Long term outcomes following upfront stereotactic body radiotherapy alone for spinal metastases](#)
- [Histological Classifier of Radiosensitivity to Spine Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy](#)
- [Local Control and Toxicity Outcomes After Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Metastatic Osteosarcoma in Pediatric Patients](#)
- [Comprehensive end-to-end dosimetry audit for stereotactic body radiotherapy in spine, lung, and soft tissue](#)
- [Evaluation of the Accuracy of Patient Fixation System Using a Bi-directional X-ray Image Matching System during Spine Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy](#)
- [Same-Day Magnetic Resonance-Guided Single-Fraction Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Painful Non-Spine Bone Metastases - A Single-Center Study \("BONE SHOT"\)](#)
- [FLASH Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Spine Tumors Using a Single-Energy Proton Pristine Bragg Peak Delivery Technique](#)

---

Also known as [stereotactic ablative radiotherapy](#) (SABR) when referring to high-dose, image-guided treatment of spinal tumors.

**Definition:** Spine SBRT is a high-precision form of [external beam radiation therapy](#) that delivers **high doses of radiation** to [spinal metastases](#) or [primary tumors](#) in **1 to 5 fractions**, using **advanced image guidance and immobilization techniques**.

It is designed to:

- Maximize tumor control (local control rates >80%)
- Spare the spinal cord and surrounding healthy tissues
- Minimize treatment time and patient burden

### Key features:

- Submillimeter precision with image-guided radiation delivery
- Dose escalation without increasing toxicity
- Often used as an alternative to surgery or after separation surgery

### Indications:

- Oligometastatic spinal disease
- Radioresistant tumors (e.g., RCC, melanoma)
- Re-irradiation of previously treated spine levels
- Pain control and spinal cord compression management

### Contraindications:

- Severe spinal instability without surgical stabilization
- Extensive epidural compression (ESCC 3) unless surgery is performed first

SBRT requires detailed planning, including MRI/CT fusion, spinal cord contouring, and strict dose constraints.

## Retrospective Cohort Studies

In a Retrospective Cohort Study Jackson et al.<sup>1)</sup> from the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, concluded that 30 Gy in 3 fractions is the preferred SBRT regimen for spinal metastases, even in radiosensitive tumors, because it offers better local control than 27 Gy with a similar risk of vertebral fracture requiring treatment.

Additionally:

Tumor histology strongly influences radiosensitivity — prostate and breast (Class A) respond best; GI and liver tumors (Class C) have higher failure rates.

For high-grade epidural compression (ESCC 2-3) in Class B-C tumors, separation surgery + SBRT may improve outcomes over SBRT alone.

---

\* The study assigns **biological significance to histology classes** (A-C) without molecular stratification or genomic profiling — a gross simplification that ignores intratumoral heterogeneity and microenvironmental factors. \* Retrospective design with **non-randomized treatment allocation** allows substantial **selection bias** (e.g., healthier patients might be more likely to receive 30 Gy). \* No formal **validation cohort** — the classifier is proposed based on internal data, without prospective or external validation.

### □ Conceptual Ambiguity

\* “Histological classifier of radiosensitivity” suggests a **predictive tool**, yet the study lacks any predictive modeling or decision support framework. The term is more rhetorical than scientific. \* The study mixes **observational epidemiology with causal language**, implying therapeutic superiority without proper adjustment for confounders.

### □ Chronological Bias

\* Treatment practices evolved over the 9-year window. Earlier patients may have been treated with less advanced planning, different immobilization, or different imaging standards — contaminating outcome comparisons.

### □ Operator Bias and Unmeasured Variables

\* No adjustment for institutional learning curve, planning margins, spinal cord tolerance constraints, or radiologist/radiation oncologist variability. \* The **impact of systemic therapy** (e.g., concurrent

[immunotherapy](#), [targeted therapy](#)) is not accounted for — a major omission in modern oncologic outcomes.

## □ Misleading VCF Analysis

\* Vertebral Compression Fracture rates are reported, but the distinction between **radiologic** and **clinically significant** fractures is vague. Moreover, attributing cause solely to dose without biomechanical modeling is speculative. \* The apparent **increase in overall VCF with 30 Gy**, though dismissed as statistically irrelevant, raises safety concerns insufficiently explored.

## □ Weak Surgical Analysis

\* The “benefit” of separation surgery in [Epidural Spinal Cord Compression](#) (ESCC) 2–3 lesions is statistically **non-significant ( $p = 0.051$ )** and based on a **small subgroup ( $n=261$ )** — yet it is discussed as if near-clinical truth.

## □ Final Verdict

This study sells the illusion of a refined, histology-based SBRT dosing paradigm, but offers little more than retrospective rebranding of known practice patterns. It overpromises biological insight while underdelivering methodological rigor.

Until prospectively validated, the so-called “histological classifier” remains **an observational artifact**, not a clinical decision tool.

## □ Recommendation

\* Treat this study as **exploratory**, not directive. \* Avoid reshaping clinical protocols solely based on its conclusions. \* Demand prospective validation with molecular data and standardized planning before adopting these thresholds.

1)

Jackson CB, Boe LA, Zhang L, Apte A, Jackson A, Ruppert LM, Haseltine J, Mueller BA, Schmitt AM, Vaynrub M, Newman WC, Lis E, Barzilai O, Bilsky MH, Yamada Y, Higginson DS. Histological Classifier of [Radiosensitivity](#) to [Spine Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy](#). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2025 Jun 12:S0360-3016(25)00597-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2025.05.078. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 40516631.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=spine\\_stereotactic\\_body\\_radiation\\_therapy](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=spine_stereotactic_body_radiation_therapy)

Last update: **2025/06/15 17:34**

