

While [Artificial intelligence](#) improves [accessibility](#) and [efficiency](#) in academic writing, its limitations in [clinical experience](#), [originality](#), and [nuanced analysis](#) highlight the need for human [oversight](#). The integration of AI should be as a complementary tool rather than a [replacement](#) for [human expertise](#). Future research should focus on refining AI's analytical capabilities and ensuring ethical use in [scientific publishing](#) ¹⁾

¹⁾

Fauziah RR, Puspita AMI, Yuliana I, Ummah FS, Mufarochah S, Ramadhani E. [Artificial intelligence](#) in academic writing: Enhancing or replacing human expertise? J Clin Neurosci. 2025 Mar 19:111193. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2025.111193. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 40113532.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=scientific_publishing

Last update: **2025/03/21 13:32**

