

Posterior fossa tumor surgery

[Posterior fossa tumor](#) surgery refers to [neurosurgical procedures](#) aimed at removing tumors in the [posterior fossa](#).

This area is critical for motor coordination, autonomic functions, and cranial nerve activity, making surgeries here highly delicate.

□ Key Points about Posterior Fossa Tumor Surgery

□ Common Tumor Types Medulloblastoma (most common in children)

Ependymoma

Pilocytic astrocytoma

Hemangioblastoma

Metastases

Meningiomas (posterior fossa or cerebellopontine angle)

□ Anatomical Considerations

Proximity to the brainstem = high risk for neurological deficits

Obstruction of CSF flow can cause hydrocephalus

Cranial nerves (especially V-XII) are often at risk

□ Surgical Approaches

Midline [suboccipital craniotomy](#) (for vermillion/cerebellar tumors)

[Retrosigmoid](#) (lateral suboccipital) (for CPA tumors, like vestibular schwannomas)

[Far-lateral approach](#) (for foramen magnum and lateral brainstem lesions)

□ Goals of Surgery

[Maximal safe resection](#)

Preservation of neurological function

Relief of hydrocephalus (sometimes requiring external ventricular drain or VP shunt)

□ Adjuncts to Surgery

Neuronavigation

Intraoperative neuromonitoring (MEPs, SSEPs, cranial nerves)

Ultrasound/MRI guidance

Neuroendoscopy (in selected cases)

⚠ Potential Complications

[Posterior fossa tumor surgery complications.](#)

☼ Postoperative Care

ICU monitoring

Imaging (CT/MRI within 24–48 h)

CSF diversion if hydrocephalus persists

Early physical and speech therapy

[Posterior fossa tumor surgery](#) is associated with a significant risk of [complications](#), and the complications are typically more frequent than similar [supratentorial](#) surgeries. The primary objectives of the present study are to evaluate extent of resection and neurological outcomes and the secondary objective is to evaluate [perioperative](#) complications with using minimally invasive approaches for intra-axial posterior fossa tumors from our case series.

All consecutive patients who underwent non-biopsy surgery of a posterior fossa tumor using [tubular retractor](#)s and exoscopic visualization from January 2016 to May 2018 were prospectively identified and included.

15 patients underwent resection of an intra-axial posterior fossa tumor during the reviewed period. Eight (53%) were male and the median (interquartile range) age was 63.0 (45.0-67.5) years. The location of the pathology was the cerebellar hemisphere in 11 (73%), vermis in 3 (20%), and middle cerebellar peduncle in 1 (7%). The median pre and postoperative lesion volumes were 21.6 (10.1-33.0) 0 (0-1.2) cm³, respectively. The percent resection was 100% (92-100%). Following surgery, 12 (80%) had improved and 3 (20%) had stable KPS, where no patients had a decline in KPS postoperatively. No patients incurred other postoperative regional or medical complications.

Mampre et al. demonstrated the possible efficacy of a minimally invasive approach with the use of tubular retractors and exoscopic visualization for resecting posterior fossa intra-axial tumors with relatively high efficacy and low morbidity ¹⁾.

¹⁾

Mampre D, Bechtle A, Chaichana KL. Minimally invasive resection of intra-axial posterior fossa tumors using tubular retractors. World Neurosurg. 2018 Aug 18. pii: S1878-8750(18)31832-1. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.08.049. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 30130571.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=posterior_fossa_tumor_surgery

Last update: **2025/04/03 19:49**

