

# Percutaneous posterior lumbar interbody fusion

In the Percutaneous posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PPLIF) group, as compared with [posterior lumbar interbody fusion](#) (PLF) group, mean operating time was shorter, blood loss was negligible, and mean hospital time was halved. By the last follow-up visit (greater than or equal to 2 years), pain and disability in PLF group had diminished by 31.9% and 20.1%, respectively. The corresponding figures in PPLIF group were 55.4% and 42.7%, respectively.

In the context of postdiscectomy low back pain, PPLIF has proven, thus far, to be a safe procedure with improved clinical results <sup>1)</sup>.

<sup>1)</sup>

Gepstein R, Shabat S, Reichel M, Pikarsky I, Folman Y. Treatment of postdiscectomy low back pain by percutaneous posterior lumbar interbody fusion versus open posterior lumbar fusion with pedicle screws. *Spine J.* 2008 Sep-Oct;8(5):741-6. Epub 2007 Nov 5. PubMed PMID: 17981094.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=percutaneous\\_posterior\\_lumbar\\_interbody\\_fusion](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=percutaneous_posterior_lumbar_interbody_fusion)

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:55**

