

Peer review challenges

Challenges of the [peer review](#) process are:

1. The increasing need for [reviewers](#) due to an increasing number of peer-review requests, because promotions are obtained based on the number of publications or “publish or perish” syndrome and due to various online and hard copy publishers ¹⁾.
2. Increasing demands from the reviewers primary jobs, related to clinical demands, financial constraints, or more time spent on their research program.
3. Heterogeneous [quality](#) of reviews and different methods used to analyze the manuscript, sometimes because of insufficient training ^{2) 3)}.

¹⁾

Shen C, Björk BC: ‘Predatory’ open access: a longitudinal study of article volumes and market characteristics. BMC Med 13:230, 2015

²⁾

Bourne PE, Korngreen A: Ten simple rules for reviewers. PLOS Comput Biol 2:e110, 2006

³⁾

Hak DJ, Giannoudis P, Mauffrey C: Increasing challenges for an effective peer-review process. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 26:117–118, 2016

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=peer_review_challenges

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:51**

