

# Open Peer Review

## Open Science movement

This approach involves publishing the [review](#) process along with the [manuscript](#), allowing for [transparency](#) and [accountability](#). This can also help to reduce [bias](#) and [conflicts of interest](#).

A more complete and fair method of recognizing the [contribution](#) of a [reviewer](#) to the final version of the article, would be to list them in the article, which would require [open peer reviews](#). Journals and indexers can organize systems to provide public recognition to open reviewers, but more educational efforts are required to change the mind of those defending the old-fashioned blind and [double-blind peer review processes](#) <sup>1) 2)</sup>.

1)

Wicherts JM. Peer review quality and transparency of the peer-review process in open access and subscription journals. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):e0147913. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147913.

2)

Transparency in peer review. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3(12):1237. doi: 10.1038/s41562-019-0799-8.

From:  
<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**



Permanent link:  
[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=open\\_peer\\_review](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=open_peer_review)

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:59**