

Obeid-coronal malalignment classification

[Coronal malalignment](#) is a frequent condition, usually associated with [sagittal malalignment](#), but it is often misunderstood. It's [classification](#) should help the [spine surgeon](#) to better understand the full [spinal alignment](#) of ASD patients. In concave CM, the correction should be obtained at the apex of the main curve. In convex CM, the correction should be obtained at the lumbosacral junction ¹⁾

Types	Subtypes	
Main coronal curve types	First modifier: the apex of the curve	Second modifier: flexibility of curve
Type 1 Concave	Type 1A between T12 and L4	Type 1A1 flexible
		Type 1A2 rigid
	Type 1B above T11-12	
Type 2 Convex	Type 2A between T12 and L4	Type 2A1 flexible
		Type 2A2 rigid
	Type 2B Lumbosacral junction: below L4-5	

[Coronal balance](#) is a major factor impacting the outcomes in [adult spinal deformity surgery](#) (ASD). The [Obeid-coronal malalignment classification](#) (O-CM) has been proposed to improve the [coronal alignment](#) in [adult spinal deformity surgery](#). The aim of the study of Baroncini et al. was to investigate whether a postoperative coronal [malalignment](#) (CM) < 20 mm and adherence to the O-CM classification could improve surgical outcomes and decrease the rate of mechanical failure in a cohort of ASD patients.

In this multicenter retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data on all ASD patients who underwent surgical management and had a preoperative CM > 20 mm and a 2-year follow-up. Patients were divided into two groups according to whether or not surgery had been performed in adherence to the guidelines of the O-CM classification and according to whether or not the residual CM was < 20 mm. The outcomes of interest were radiographic data, rate of mechanical complications, and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures.

At 2 years, adherence to the O-CM classification led to a lower rate of mechanical complications (40 vs. 60%). A coronal correction of the CM < 20 mm allowed for a significant improvement in SRS-22 and SF-36 scores and was associated with 3.5 times greater odds of achieving the minimal clinically important difference for the SRS-22.

Adherence to the O-CM classification could reduce the risk of mechanical complications 2 years after ASD surgery. Patients with a residual CM < 20 mm showed better functional outcomes and 3.5 times greater odds of achieving the MCID for the SRS-22 score ²⁾.

¹⁾

Obeid I, Berjano P, Lamartina C, Chopin D, Boissière L, Bourghli A. Classification of coronal imbalance

in adult scoliosis and spine deformity: a treatment-oriented guideline. Eur Spine J. 2019 Jan;28(1):94-113. doi: 10.1007/s00586-018-5826-3. Epub 2018 Nov 20. PMID: 30460601.

2)

Baroncini A, Frechon P, Bourghli A, Smith JS, Larrieu D, Pellisé F, Pizones J, Kleinstueck F, Alanay A, Kieser D, Cawley DT, Boissiere L, Obeid I; European Spine Study Group (ESSG). Adherence to the Obeid coronal malalignment classification and a residual malalignment below 20 mm can improve surgical outcomes in adult spine deformity surgery. Eur Spine J. 2023 Jul 2. doi: 10.1007/s00586-023-07831-0. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37393421.

From:
<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:
https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=obeid-coronal_malalignment_classification

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:49**

