

With the rapid proliferation of [artificial intelligence tools](#), important questions about their [applicability to manuscript preparation](#) have been raised.

Schneider et al. explore the methodological challenges of detecting AI-generated content in neurosurgical [publications](#), using existing detection tools to highlight both the presence of AI content and the fundamental limitations of current detection approaches.

They analyzed 100 [randomly](#) selected manuscripts published between 2023 and 2024 in high-impact [neurosurgery journals](#) using a two-tiered approach to identify potential AI-generated text. The text was classified as AI-generated if both robustly optimized bidirectional encoder representations from transformers pretraining approach (RoBERTa)-based AI classification tool yielded a positive classification and the text's perplexity score was less than 100. Chi-square tests were conducted to assess differences in the prevalence of AI-generated text across various manuscript sections, topics, and types. To eliminate bias introduced by the more structured nature of abstracts, a subgroup analysis was conducted that excluded abstracts as well.

Approximately one in five (20%) manuscripts contained sections flagged as AI-generated. [Abstracts](#) and methods sections were disproportionately identified. After excluding abstracts, the association between section type and AI-generated content was no longer statistically significant.

The findings highlight both the increasing integration of AI in manuscript preparation and a critical challenge in academic publishing as AI language models become increasingly sophisticated and traditional detection methods become less reliable. This suggests the need to shift focus from detection to [transparency](#), emphasizing the [development](#) of clear [disclosure](#) policies and [ethical guidelines](#) for AI use in academic writing <sup>1)</sup>.

1)

Schneider DM, Mishra A, Gluski J, Shah H, Ward M, Brown ED, Sciubba DM, Lo SL. Prevalence of Artificial Intelligence-Generated Text in Neurosurgical Publications: Implications for Academic Integrity and Ethical Authorship. *Cureus*. 2025 Feb 16;17(2):e79086. doi: 10.7759/cureus.79086. PMID: 40109787; PMCID: PMC11920854.

From:  
<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:  
[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=neurosurgical\\_publications](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=neurosurgical_publications)

Last update: **2025/03/21 13:38**

