Neurological outcome

Neurological outcome refers to the functional and cognitive status of a patient following a neurological event, surgery, or intervention. It is a critical measure in neurosurgery, neurocritical care, and stroke management, as it reflects both brain recovery and long-term patient quality of life.

Key Aspects of Neurological Outcome Cognitive Function – Memory, attention, executive function, and processing speed. Motor Function – Muscle strength, coordination, and mobility. Sensory Function – Pain perception, proprioception, and touch sensitivity. Speech and Language – Ability to speak, understand, and process language. Consciousness and Awareness – Level of alertness, coma scales, and responsiveness. Independence in Daily Living – Ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs). Assessment Tools for Neurological Outcome Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) – Used in traumatic brain injury (TBI) and neurosurgery to assess overall functional recovery. Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) – Common in stroke patients to evaluate disability and dependence. Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) – Assesses cognitive impairment. Functional Independence Measure (FIM) – Evaluates motor and cognitive functional independence. Neuroimaging (MRI/CT) – Identifies structural brain changes, gliosis, or cerebral atrophy. Biomarkers (S100B, NSE, GFAP) – Reflects neuronal damage and blood-brain barrier integrity.

Neurologic Outcome Scale for Infants and Children (NOSIC)

McCauley, S. R., Wilde, E. A., et al. (2010). “The Neurological Outcome Scale for Traumatic Brain Injury (NOS-TBI): II. Reliability and convergent validity.” J Neurotrauma 27(6): 991-997. Find it on PubMed

Wilde, E. A., McCauley, S. R., et al. (2010). “Feasibility of the Neurological Outcome Scale for Traumatic Brain Injury (NOS-TBI) in adults.” J Neurotrauma 27(6): 975-981. Find it on PubMed

Wilde, E. A., McCauley, S. R., et al. (2010). “The Neurological Outcome Scale for Traumatic Brain Injury (NOS-TBI): I. Construct validity.” J Neurotrauma 27(6): 983-989. Find it on PubMed

Jennett, B. and M. Bond, Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. Lancet, 1975. 1(7905): p. 480-4. 2. Bernard, S.A., et al., Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med, 2002. 346(8): p. 557-63. 3. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med, 2002. 346(8): p. 549-56. 4. Roine, R.O., et al., Nimodipine after resuscitation from out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. A placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized trial. Jama, 1990. 264(24): p. 3171-7. 5. Hsu, J.W., C.D. Madsen, and M.L. Callaham, Quality-of-life and formal functional testing of survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest correlates poorly with traditional neurologic outcome scales. Ann Emerg Med, 1996. 28(6): p. 597-605. 6. Hallstrom, A.P., et al., Public-access defibrillation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med, 2004. 351(7): p. 637-46. 7. Clements, P.T. and H.J. Stenerson, Surviving sudden loss: when life, death, and technology collide. J Vasc Nurs, 2004. 22(4): p. 134-7. 8. Roine, R.O., S. Kajaste, and M. Kaste, Neuropsychological sequelae of cardiac arrest. Jama, 1993. 269(2): p. 237-42. 9. Konstam, M.A., et al., Key issues in trial design for ventricular assist devices: a position statement of the Heart Failure Society of America. J Card Fail, 2004. 10(2): p. 91-100.