

We should accept that peer [reviewers](#) when they perform a good [review](#), contributed to the final version of the [article](#) more so than many of the individuals listed in the [acknowledgments](#) section. Unfortunately, [journals](#), [indexers](#), [academic institutions](#), and funding bodies are not considering these [contributions](#) as curricular [merits](#). Pharmacy Practice started a new [practice](#) of including all peer reviewers of the past year as part of the collective [author](#) in the first [editorial](#) of the new year. Thus, their names are searchable in [PubMed](#) using the [IR] field descriptor ¹⁾. ²⁾.

¹⁾

Fernandez-Llimos F Pharmacy Practice 2018 peer reviewers. Peer review and publication delay. Pharm Pract (Granada) 2019;17(1):1502. doi: 10.18549/PharmPract.2019.1.1502

²⁾

Fernandez-Llimos F Pharmacy Practice 2017 peer reviewers. Scholarly publishing depends on peer reviewers. Pharm Pract (Granada) 2018;16(1):1236. doi: 10.18549/PharmPract.2018.01.1236.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=merit>

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:50**

