Lumbar foraminal stenosis classification

see also Foraminal Stenosis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Classification.

Lumbar Foraminal Stenosis Computerized tomography Classification

No clinical CT-based classification system is currently in use for lumbar foraminal stenosis diagnosis. MRI scanners are not easily available, are expensive and may be contraindicated in an increasing number of patients. This study aimed to propose and evaluate the reproducibility of a novel CT-based classification for lumbar foraminal stenosis.

Materials and methods: The grading was developed as four grades: normal foramen-Grade 0, anteroposterior (AP)/superoinferior (SI) (single plane) fat compression-Grade 1, both AP/SI compression (two planes) without distortion of nerve root-Grade 2 and Grade 2 with distortion of nerve root-Grade 3. A total of 800 lumbar foramen of a cohort of 100 random patients over the age of 60 who had undergone both CT and MRI scans were reviewed by two radiologists independently to assess agreement of the novel CT classification against the MRI-based grading system of Lee et al. Interobserver(n = 400) and intraobserver agreement(n = 160) was also evaluated. Agreement analysis was performed using the weighted kappa statistic.

Results: A total of 100 patients (M:F = 45:55) with a mean age of 68.5 years (range 60-83 years were included in the study. The duration between CT and MRI scans was 98 days (range 0-540, SD-108). There was good correlation between CT and MRI with kappa scores (k = 0.81) and intraobserver kappa of 0.89 and 0.98 for the two readers.

Conclusion: The novel CT-based classification correlates well with the MRI grading system and can safely and accurately replace it where required ¹⁾.

Lumbar Foraminal Stenosis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Classification

Lumbar Foraminal Stenosis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Classification

Assessment of lumbar spinal stenosis at MRI is repetitive and time consuming. Deep learning (DL) could improve -productivity and the consistency of reporting. Purpose To develop a DL model for automated detection and classification of lumbar central canal, lateral recess, and neural -foraminal stenosis. Materials and Methods In this retrospective study, lumbar spine MRI scans obtained from September 2015 to September 2018 were included. Studies of patients with spinal instrumentation or studies with suboptimal image quality, as well as postgadolinium studies and studies of patients with scoliosis, were excluded. Axial T2-weighted and sagittal T1-weighted images were used. Studies were split into an internal training set (80%), validation set (9%), and test set (11%). Training data were labeled by four radiologists using predefined gradings (normal, mild, moderate, and severe). A two-

component DL model was developed. First, a convolutional neural network (CNN) was trained to detect the region of interest (ROI), with a second CNN for classification. An internal test set was labeled by a musculoskeletal radiologist with 31 years of experience (reference standard) and two subspecialist radiologists (radiologist 1: A.M., 5 years of experience; radiologist 2: J.T.P.D.H., 9 years of experience). DL model performance on an external test set was evaluated. Detection recall (in percentage), interrater agreement (Gwet κ), sensitivity, and specificity were calculated. Results Overall, 446 MRI lumbar spine studies were analyzed (446 patients; mean age ± standard deviation, 52 years \pm 19; 240 women), with 396 patients in the training (80%) and validation (9%) sets and 50 (11%) in the internal test set. For internal testing, DL model and radiologist central canal recall were greater than 99%, with reduced neural foramina recall for the DL model (84.5%) and radiologist 1 (83.9%) compared with radiologist 2 (97.1%) (P < .001). For internal testing, dichotomous classification (normal or mild vs moderate or severe) showed almost-perfect agreement for both radiologists and the DL model, with respective κ values of 0.98, 0.98, and 0.96 for the central canal; 0.92, 0.95, and 0.92 for lateral recesses; and 0.94, 0.95, and 0.89 for neural foramina (P < .001). External testing with 100 MRI scans of lumbar spines showed almost perfect agreement for the DL model for dichotomous classification of all ROIs (κ , 0.95-0.96; P < .001). Conclusion A deep learning model showed comparable agreement with subspecialist radiologists for detection and classification of central canal and lateral recess stenosis, with slightly lower agreement for neural foraminal stenosis at lumbar spine²⁾

1)

Haleem S, Malik M, Guduri V, Azzopardi C, James S, Botchu R. The Haleem-Botchu classification: a novel CT-based classification for lumbar foraminal stenosis. Eur Spine J. 2021 Apr;30(4):865-869. doi: 10.1007/s00586-020-06656-5. Epub 2020 Nov 11. PMID: 33179129.

Hallinan JTPD, Zhu L, Yang K, Makmur A, Algazwi DAR, Thian YL, Lau S, Choo YS, Eide SE, Yap QV, Chan YH, Tan JH, Kumar N, Ooi BC, Yoshioka H, Quek ST. Deep Learning Model for Automated Detection and Classification of Central Canal, Lateral Recess, and Neural Foraminal Stenosis at Lumbar Spine MRI. Radiology. 2021 Jul;300(1):130-138. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2021204289. Epub 2021 May 11. PMID: 33973835.

From: https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/ - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link: https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=lumbar_foraminal_stenosis_classification



Last update: 2024/06/07 03:00