

Intraoperative brain mapping indications

Indications

To avoid permanent neurologic deficits and preserve brain function in and near the eloquent area, intraoperative electrical stimulation mapping (IESM) is necessary.

Intraoperative [monitoring](#) has become a standard technique to predict postoperative neurological function during cranial and [spinal surgery](#) ^{1) 2) 3) 4)}.

[Language mapping](#)

[Subcortical stimulation mapping](#)

[Continuous dynamic monopolar motor mapping](#) Preoperative brain [mapping](#) is vital to improve the outcome of patients with tumors located in [eloquent areas](#).

Intraoperative electrical brain mapping is currently the most reliable method to identify eloquent cortical and subcortical structures at the individual level and to optimize the extent of resection of intrinsic brain tumors. The technique allows the preservation of quality of life, not only allowing avoidance of severe neurological deficits but also facilitating preservation of high neurocognitive functions. To accomplish this goal, however, it is crucial to optimize the selection of appropriate intraoperative tasks, given the limited intrasurgical awake time frame. In this review, the authors' aim was to propose specific parameters that could be used to build a personalized protocol for each patient. They have focused on lesion location and relationships with functional networks to guide selection of intrasurgical tasks in an effort to increase reproducibility among neurooncological centers ⁵⁾.

Direct [Electrical stimulation](#) is still the gold standard for [brain mapping](#), its combination with new methods such as perioperative neurofunctional imaging and biomathematical modeling is now mandatory, in order to clearly differentiate those networks that are actually indispensable to function from those that can be compensated.

Brain mapping in patients with [brain tumors](#) and other lesions has shown us that the old idea of fixed function of the adult [cerebral cortex](#) is not entirely true. Improving care for patients with brain lesions in the future will depend on better understanding of the functional organization and plasticity of the adult [brain](#). Advanced noninvasive brain imaging will undoubtedly play a role in advancing this understanding ⁶⁾.

see [cortical mapping](#).

The technique of direct stimulation [mapping](#) of the cortex is used to identify regions of language representation in the dominant cerebral hemisphere and the Rolandic cortex in either hemisphere ⁷⁾.

Intraoperative brain mapping techniques are utilized in [neurooncology](#) to maximize the extent of tumor resection and seizure control, and minimize the operative morbidity. Direct [stimulation](#) mapping of the cortex and subcortical descending motor pathways will localize the rolandic cortex, dominant language speech zones and motor tracts in the internal capsule, cerebral peduncle and corticospinal tract/anterior horn cells. Electrocorticography identifies epileptogenic areas that histologically are distinctly devoid of neoplastic infiltration. Seizure control is maximal when seizure foci are resected in addition to the tumor nidus ⁸⁾.

Intraoperative [mapping](#) and [monitoring](#) techniques for [eloquent](#) area [intracranial tumors](#) are routinely used world wide. Very few data are available regarding mapping and monitoring methods and preferences, intraoperative seizures occurrence and perioperative antiepileptic drug management.

A questionnaire was sent to 20 European centers with experience in intraoperative mapping or neurophysiological monitoring for the treatment of eloquent area tumors. Fifteen centers returned the completed questionnaires. Data was available on 2098 patients. 863 patients (41.1%) were operated on through [awake surgery](#) and intraoperative mapping, while 1235 patients (58.8%) received asleep surgery and intraoperative electrophysiological monitoring or mapping. There was great heterogeneity between centers with some totally AW oriented (up to 100%) and other almost totally ASL oriented (up to 92%) (31% SD). For awake surgery, 79.9% centers preferred an asleep-awake-asleep anesthesia protocol. Only 53.3% of the centers used ECoG or transcutaneous EEG. The incidence of intraoperative seizures varied significantly between centers, ranging from 2.5% to 54% ($p < 0.001$). It there appears to be a statistically significant link between the mastery of mapping technique and the risk of intraoperative seizures. Moreover, history of preoperative seizures can significantly increase the risk of intraoperative seizures ($p < 0.001$). Intraoperative seizures occurrence was similar in patients with or without perioperative drugs (12% vs. 12%, $p = 0.2$). This is the first European survey to assess intraoperative functional mapping and monitoring protocols and the management of peri- and intraoperative seizures. This data can help identify specific aspects that need to be investigated in prospective and controlled studies ⁹⁾.

1)

Eager M, Shimer A, Jahangiri FR, Shen F, Arlet V: Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring (IONM): lessons learned from 32 case events in 2069 spine cases. *Am J Electroneurodiagn Technol* 51:247-263, 2011

2)

Guo L, Gelb AW: The use of motor evoked potential monitoring during cerebral aneurysm surgery to predict pure motor deficits due to subcortical ischemia. *Clin Neurophysiol* 122:648-655, 2011

3)

Kombos T, Picht T, Derdilopoulos A, Suess O: Impact of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring on surgery of high-grade gliomas. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 26:422-425, 2009

4)

Langeloo DD, Journée HL, de Kleuver M, Grotenhuis JA: Criteria for transcranial electrical motor evoked potential monitoring during spinal deformity surgery. A review and discussion of the literature. *Neurophysiol Clin* 37:431-439, 2007

5)

Fernández Coello A, Moritz-Gasser S, Martino J, Martinoni M, Matsuda R, Duffau H. Selection of intraoperative tasks for awake mapping based on relationships between tumor location and functional networks. *J Neurosurg.* 2013 Dec;119(6):1380-94. doi: 10.3171/2013.6.JNS122470. Review. PubMed PMID: 24053503.

6)

Abd-El-Barr MM, Saleh E, Huang RY, Golby AJ. Effect of disease and recovery on functional anatomy in brain tumor patients: insights from functional MRI and diffusion tensor imaging. *Imaging Med.* 2013

Aug 1;5(4):333-346. PubMed PMID: 24660024; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3959954.

⁷⁾

Berger MS, Ojemann GA, Lettich E. Neurophysiological monitoring during astrocytoma surgery. Neurosurg Clin N Am. 1990 Jan;1(1):65-80. Review. PubMed PMID: 2135974.

⁸⁾

Berger MS, Ojemann GA. Intraoperative brain mapping techniques in neuro-oncology. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg. 1992;58(1-4):153-61. Review. PubMed PMID: 1439333.

⁹⁾

Spena G, Schucht P, Seidel K, Rutten GJ, Freyschlag CF, D'Agata F, Costi E, Zappa F, Fontanella M, Fontaine D, Almairac F, Cavallo M, De Bonis P, Conesa G, Foroglou N, Gil-Robles S, Mandonnet E, Martino J, Picht T, Viegas C, Wager M, Pallud J. Brain tumors in eloquent areas: A European multicenter survey of intraoperative mapping techniques, intraoperative seizures occurrence, and antiepileptic drug prophylaxis. Neurosurg Rev. 2016 Aug 1. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 27481498.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=intraoperative_stimulation_mapping_indications

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:51**

