

Insular Glioma Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis

- [Prognostic Factors and Resectability Predictors in Insular Gliomas: A Systematic Review](#)
- [Seizure outcome after resection of insular glioma: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and institutional experience](#)
- [Post-operative morbidity ensuing surgery for insular gliomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis](#)
- [Updated incidence of neurological deficits following insular glioma resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis](#)
- [Association Between IDH1 and IDH2 Mutations and Preoperative Seizures in Patients with Low-Grade Versus High-Grade Glioma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis](#)

A [Systematic Review](#) and [Meta-analysis](#) of Matthias Simon et al., estimated rates of motor and speech deficits epilepsy control, KPI, and functional outcomes, surgical approaches and intraoperative strategies, gaps and open research questions

□ Methodology

- [Literature search](#) and [inclusion criteria](#) were clearly defined.
- [Pooled data](#) using a **random-effects model**.
- [PRISMA](#) flowchart included in the full text.

Strengths:

- Solid meta-analytic approach
- Wide timespan and adequate [sample size](#)

Limitations:

- No formal risk-of-bias assessment reported
- Lack of subgroup analyses by tumor grade or patient characteristics

□ Main Results

- **Motor deficits:** 6.8%
- **Speech deficits:** 3.6%
- **Postoperative epilepsy control:** 79.6%
- **KPI $\geq$ 80:** 83.5%

Technical Insights:

- **Awake surgery:** Slightly better functional outcome, but possibly less extent of resection
- **Transcortical approach:** Fewer motor deficits than transsylvian

Limitations:

- Pooled results do not reflect institutional variability
- Lack of detail on long-term seizure outcome (e.g., Engel class)

Discussion and Interpretation

- Risks and complication rates are comparable to other neuro-oncological procedures.
- Mapping and monitoring are **recommended**, ideally in experienced hands.

Criticisms:

- Underrepresents the steep [insular glioma surgery learning curve](#) and required surgical [expertise](#)
- No GRADE assessment of evidence
- Brief treatment of **insular glioblastomas**

Literature Gaps Identified

- Absence of standardized functional outcome reporting
- Poorly defined classification systems (Yasargil vs. Berger-Sanai vs. Duffau)
- Limited evidence on high-grade (GBM) surgery in insula

Final Assessment

Category	Assessment
Study Type	Systematic Review + Meta-analysis
Methodological Rigor	High (PRISMA, random-effects model)
Heterogeneity Handling	Moderate (no deep stratification)
Clinical Applicability	High for experienced centers
Addresses Gaps?	Partially
Overall Value	(4/5)

Recommendations

- Highly valuable reference for experienced neuro-oncology teams.
- Not generalizable to centers with limited awake surgery capacity.
- Future work should focus on:
 - Standardized outcomes
 - Prospective multicenter registries
 - Better classification of insular gliomas and their growth patterns

1)

1)

Simon M, Hagemann A, Gajadin S, Signorelli F, Vincent AJPE; EANS Neuro-oncology Section. Surgical treatment for insular gliomas. A systematic review and meta-analysis on behalf of the EANS neuro-oncology section. Brain Spine. 2024 May 15;4:102828. doi: 10.1016/j.bas.2024.102828. PMID: 38859917; PMCID: PMC11163152.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=insular_glioma_systematic_reviews_and_meta-analysisLast update: **2025/06/05 15:37**