

# Human review

ChatGPT-4o demonstrates the potential for efficiently generating MCQs but lacks the depth needed for complex [assessments](#). Human [review](#) remains essential to ensure [quality](#). Combining AI [efficiency](#) with [expert](#) oversight could optimize [question](#) creation for high-stakes exams, offering a scalable model for [medical education](#) that balances time [efficiency](#) and content [quality](#) <sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>

Law AK, So J, Lui CT, Choi YF, Cheung KH, Kei-Ching Hung K, Graham CA. AI versus human-generated multiple-choice questions for medical education: a cohort study in a high-stakes examination. BMC Med Educ. 2025 Feb 8;25(1):208. doi: 10.1186/s12909-025-06796-6. PMID: 39923067.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=human\\_review](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=human_review)

Last update: **2025/02/09 14:47**

