

Growth-Friendly Implant

A 'growth-friendly implant' is a temporary spinal device used in the management of 'early-onset scoliosis (EOS)' that permits continued spinal and thoracic growth while controlling deformity. Unlike definitive spinal fusion, these systems aim to stabilize curvature without halting skeletal development.

Key Characteristics

- Designed for 'children with immature spines'
- Allows for 'incremental lengthening' over time
- Intended for use 'prior to final spinal fusion'
- Helps preserve 'lung development and height potential'

Common Types

- Traditional Growing Rods (TGR)
- Magnetically Controlled Growing Rods (MCGR, e.g., MAGEC)
- Vertical Expandable Prosthetic Titanium Rib (VEPTR)
- Shilla Growth Guidance System

Clinical Goal

To control progressive spinal curvature in EOS while maintaining spine and thoracic growth, delaying or avoiding early definitive fusion.

Comparative cohort studies

In a [registry-based comparative cohort study](#) ([early-onset scoliosis](#) patients after [implant removal](#)) Matan S Malka et al. from the Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital (Columbia Univ, New York). Arkansas Children's Hospital; Shriners Philadelphia; Seattle Children's Hosp. published in [Spine Deformity Journal](#), to evaluate if re-implanting growth-friendly constructs within 12 months after implant removal (ROI) stabilizes deformity compared to [observation](#)-only. Early re-implantation (< 12 mo post-ROI) significantly reduces 2-year coronal [Cobb](#) progression compared to no replacement ¹⁾.

Critical Review

- Strengths:

[Multicenter](#) registry with well-defined exposure groups.

Radiographic outcomes measured at a meaningful 2-year follow-up.

Statistically robust with p-values: Cobb 81° vs 53° (p=0.003); progression ≥5°: 64% vs 30% (p=0.04)

- Limitations:

Small observation cohort (n=11) limits [generalizability](#).

Indications for ROI and patient selection unclear—could [bias](#) results.

Lack of data on [functional outcomes](#) or complications post re-implantation.

Does not assess long-term outcomes past 2 years or final fusion timing.

Score (0-10)

5.5

(Moderate quality; clinically relevant, but underpowered and limited in scope)

Takeaway for Practicing Neurosurgeons

Prompt re-implantation after growth-friendly device removal appears crucial to arrest deteriorating curves in EOS. However, decision-making should be individualized, considering technical feasibility and patient comorbidities.

Bottom Line

Re-inserting a growth-friendly implant within 12 months of removal significantly reduces coronal curve progression over 2 years and should be prioritized when feasible—though data are limited by small control group and absence of long-term outcomes.

Full Citation and Corresponding Author

Published online: July 4, 2025 **Corresponding author:** msm2244@cumc.columbia.edu.

1)

Malka MS, Lenke LG, Givens RR, Lu K, Rymond CC, McCarthy R, Samdani AF, Yaszay B, Pahys J, Vitale MG, Roye BD, Group PSS. [Failure](#) to replace removed [growth friendly implants](#) results in deteriorating radiographic outcomes. Spine Deform. 2025 Jul 4. doi: 10.1007/s43390-025-01137-5. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 40613981.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=growth_friendly_implant&rev=1751662747

Last update: **2025/07/04 20:59**

