

Federated learning

Federated [learning](#) (also known as collaborative learning) is a [machine learning](#) technique that trains an [algorithm](#) across multiple decentralized edge devices or [servers](#) holding local [data](#) samples, without exchanging them. This approach stands in contrast to traditional centralized machine learning techniques where all the local datasets are uploaded to one server, as well as to more classical decentralized approaches which often assume that local data samples are identically distributed.

Federated learning enables multiple actors to build a common, robust machine learning model without sharing data, thus allowing to address critical issues such as data privacy, data security, data access rights and access to heterogeneous data. Its applications are spread over a number of industries including defense, telecommunications, IoT, and pharmaceuticals.

[Federated learning](#) (FL) is a newly proposed [machine learning](#) method that uses a decentralized [dataset](#). Since [data transfer](#) is not necessary for the learning process in FL, there is a significant advantage in protecting [personal privacy](#). Therefore, many studies are being actively conducted in the applications of FL for diverse areas.

The aim of a study was to evaluate the reliability and performance of FL using three benchmark datasets, including a clinical benchmark dataset.

To evaluate FL in a realistic setting, Lee et al. implemented FL using a client-server architecture with [Python](#). The implemented client-server version of the FL software was deployed to Amazon Web Services. Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology (MNIST), Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-III (MIMIC-III), and electrocardiogram (ECG) datasets were used to evaluate the performance of FL. To test FL in a realistic setting, the MNIST dataset was split into 10 different clients, with one digit for each client. In addition, they conducted four different experiments according to basic, imbalanced, skewed, and a combination of imbalanced and skewed [data](#) distributions. They also compared the performance of FL to that of the state-of-the-art method with respect to in-hospital mortality using the MIMIC-III dataset. Likewise, we conducted experiments comparing basic and imbalanced data distributions using MIMIC-III and ECG data.

FL on the basic MNIST dataset with 10 clients achieved an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of 0.997 and an F1-score of 0.946. The experiment with the imbalanced MNIST dataset achieved an AUROC of 0.995 and an F1-score of 0.921. The experiment with the skewed MNIST dataset achieved an AUROC of 0.992 and an F1-score of 0.905. Finally, the combined imbalanced and skewed experiment achieved an AUROC of 0.990 and an F1-score of 0.891. The basic FL on in-hospital mortality using MIMIC-III data achieved an AUROC of 0.850 and an F1-score of 0.944, while the experiment with the imbalanced MIMIC-III dataset achieved an AUROC of 0.850 and an F1-score of 0.943. For ECG classification, the basic FL achieved an AUROC of 0.938 and an F1-score of 0.807, and the imbalanced ECG dataset achieved an AUROC of 0.943 and an F1-score of 0.807.

FL demonstrated comparative performance on different benchmark datasets. In addition, FL demonstrated reliable performance in cases where the distribution was imbalanced, skewed, and extreme, reflecting the real-life scenario in which data distributions from various hospitals are different. FL can achieve high performance while maintaining privacy protection because there is no requirement to centralize the data ¹⁾.

1)

Lee GH, Shin SY. Federated Learning on Clinical Benchmark Data: Performance Assessment. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Oct 26;22(10):e20891. doi: 10.2196/20891. PMID: 33104011.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=federated_learning

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:50**

