

Epidural Steroid Injection

Indications

[Epidural steroid injections](#) (ESIs) are a common method for back pain management and treating [inflammation](#) associated with low back related leg [pain](#), or neck related [arm pain](#). In both of these conditions, the [spinal nerves](#) become inflamed due to narrowing of the passages where the [nerves](#) travel as they pass down or out of the [spine](#).

[Epidural Steroid Injection for chronic low back pain](#)

History

They have been used in the treatment of lumbar [radicular pain](#) syndromes since [1952](#). These injections have been performed blind, using an interlaminar loss of resistance technique with a 13-30% incidence of improper localization of the space

X-ray confirmation of site is essential for difficult extradural blocks, or when neurolytic solutions are introduced into the [spinal canal](#) ¹⁾.

Types

[Interlaminar epidural steroid injection](#)

[Transforaminal epidural steroid injection](#)

see [Lumbar epidural injection](#)

see [Cervical epidural injection](#)

see [Caudal epidural injection](#)

Complications

see [Epidural Steroid Injection Complications](#).

Case series

One hundred forty-one patients met the inclusion/exclusion criteria; 89 received [Epidural Steroid Injection](#) (ESI) and 52 were treated with medical [management](#) alone. Both [cohorts](#) showed improved [EQ-5D](#) scores at 3 months but were similar to one another: ESI (Δ EQ-5D = 0.06; $p = 0.03$) and medical-alone (Δ EQ-5D = 0.07; $p = 0.03$). No significant difference was seen between groups for total

costs (\$2,190 vs. \$1,772; $p = 0.18$) or cost-utility ratios (\$38,710/QALY vs. \$27,313/QALY; $p = 0.73$). At both the 3-month and 6-month endpoints, absolute differences in cost-utility were driven by overall costs as opposed to QALY gains. Medical management alone was more cost-effective at both points owing to lower expenditures, however, these differences were not significant. No benefits were seen in either group on the EQ-5D or any of the patient-reported outcomes at the 6-month time point.

ESIs were not cost-effective at either the 3-month or 6-month follow-up period. At 3 months, ESIs provide similar improvements in QOL outcomes relative to medical management and at similar costs. At 6 months, neither ESIs nor conservative management provides significant improvements in QOL outcomes ²⁾.

References

¹⁾

Mehta M, Salmon N. Extradural block. Confirmation of the injection site by X-ray monitoring. *Anaesthesia*. 1985 Oct;40(10):1009-12. PubMed PMID: 4061788.

²⁾

Pennington Z, Swanson MA, Lubelski D, Mehta V, Alvin MD, Fuhrman H, Benzel EC, Mroz TE. Comparing the short-term cost-effectiveness of epidural steroid injections and medical management alone for discogenic lumbar radiculopathy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2020 Jan 13;191:105675. doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2020.105675. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 31954364.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=epidural_steroid_injection

Last update: **2024/12/03 06:59**

