

# Editorial Laxity

## Acceptance of low-evidence studies

- Case reports or single-case studies with no statistical or clinical weight get published without justification.

## Tolerating inflated conclusions

- Articles make bold or speculative claims not supported by data, and reviewers or editors let it pass.

## Prioritizing novelty or image quality

- Preference is given to visually appealing or technically novel work, even if it lacks clinical value.

## Inadequate peer review

- Poor or superficial reviewer comments, or peer review done by conflicted or unqualified reviewers.

## Thematic drift

- Journal content moves away from core clinical relevance toward imaging gimmicks, academic padding, or trendy topics.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=editorial\\_laxity](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=editorial_laxity)

Last update: **2025/06/20 05:42**

