

Double-blind peer review process

Both the [reviewer](#) and [author](#) identities are concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the [review process](#). To facilitate this, authors need to ensure that their manuscripts are prepared in a way that does not give away their identity.

A more complete and fair method of recognizing the [contribution](#) of a [reviewer](#) to the final version of the article, would be to list them in the article, which would require [open peer reviews](#). Journals and indexers can organize systems to provide public recognition to open reviewers, but more educational efforts are required to change the mind of those defending the old-fashioned blind and [double-blind peer review processes](#) ^{1) 2)}.

¹⁾

Wichert JM. Peer review quality and transparency of the peer-review process in open access and subscription journals. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):e0147913. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147913.

²⁾

Transparency in peer review. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3(12):1237. doi: 10.1038/s41562-019-0799-8.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=double-blind_peer_review_process

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:55**

