

□ Data-Driven Illusion

Data-driven **illusion** refers to the misleading impression that a **study** is scientifically **robust** or clinically **meaningful** solely because it uses large **datasets** or complex **statistical methods**, despite lacking relevant clinical **context**, biological **plausibility**, or **methodological rigor**.

□ Key Characteristics

Massive **sample sizes** that amplify **statistical significance** while masking clinical **irrelevant**.

Sophisticated analytics (e.g., SMR, APC, machine learning) applied to poorly defined or incomplete variables.

Apparent precision that gives undue **confidence** to fundamentally flawed or superficial **conclusions**.

Use of registry or administrative data without proper **validation**, adjustment for confounders, or stratified analysis.

△ Why It Matters

A data-driven illusion can inflate the credibility of findings that are not actionable, not causal, or even not real — undermining evidence-based practice by dressing speculation in statistical clothing.

□ Example Usage in Critique:

"This study suffers from a classic data-driven illusion: massive patient numbers and elegant modeling techniques give the appearance of depth, but in reality, it lacks the clinical resolution and methodological controls needed for meaningful interpretation."

From:
<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**



Permanent link:
https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=data-driven_illusion

Last update: **2025/06/19 05:36**