

□ Causal Language

Causal language refers to wording that suggests a cause-and-effect relationship between two variables.

□ Definition

Causal language is used when an author implies that one factor **directly causes** another — rather than merely being associated or correlated — even if the study design **does not support** such inference.

Examples:

- □ “Treatment **leads to** improved survival”
- □ “Dose escalation **prevents** local failure”

Correct alternatives:

- □ “Treatment **was associated with** improved survival”
- □ “Higher dose **correlated with** lower failure rates”

⚠ Why It’s Problematic

Using causal language in:

- **Retrospective** studies
- **Observational** studies without causal inference methods

... can:

- Overstate conclusions
- Mislead clinicians or policymakers
- Hide potential confounding factors or biases

□ Only Appropriate In:

- Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)
- Studies using robust **causal inference tools**:
 - Propensity score matching
 - Instrumental variable analysis
 - Directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)

If a study does not randomize exposure and lacks causal modeling, causal language should be avoided.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=causal_language

Last update: **2025/06/15 17:24**

