

# Atlas fracture nonoperative management

Nonoperative [management](#) remains the mainstay of [atlas fracture treatment](#). Isolated [fractures](#) of the [atlas](#) can be effectively managed with 8 to 12 weeks of external [cervical immobilization](#) of the [craniocervical junction](#)<sup>1)</sup>. [Collar immobilization](#) or [cervical traction](#) for this period of time is usually sufficient to allow for proper healing; however, the type of orthosis required varies<sup>2) 3)</sup>. Nonoperative treatment typically consists of external immobilization through use of a [rigid cervical collar](#), [halo-vest](#), or [Minerva jacket](#)<sup>4)</sup>.

[Soft cervical collars](#) are inadequate for immobilization and often result in worsening pain to the patient with neck motion as well as further fracture displacement. Following immobilization, dynamic imaging studies such as flexion-extension films should be ordered to rule out late instability<sup>5)</sup>.

In the absence of significant [displacement](#), [C1 fractures](#) can often be treated with a period of [rigid cervical collar](#) immobilization. In cases with more significant fracture displacement, more [rigid immobilization](#) with the [halo-vest](#) or [Minerva jacket](#) may be required. The halo vest is more rigid than the Minerva jacket, providing greater restriction of the C1-2 joint. Flexion and extension of the [upper cervical spine](#) is diminished by as much as 75 % when a halo vest is employed. The greater rigidity of the halo orthosis also restricts more lateral movement of the atlantoaxial joint when compared with the Minerva jacket<sup>6)</sup>. For this reason, the [halo-vest](#) is the preferred option for upper cervical injuries<sup>7)</sup>. With injuries extending to the mid and lower cervical spine, thermoplastic Minerva jackets offer greater comfort to patients, fewer complications, and can provide effective stabilization<sup>8)</sup>. Despite its superiority over the [Minerva jacket](#), the [halo orthosis](#) has significant potential complications. Halo ring slippage, loosening, infection, and irritation and discomfort are common<sup>9) 10)</sup>. [Halo-vest](#) immobilization (HVI) failure rates reported in the literature reach as high as 85 %<sup>11)</sup>. [Pediatric patients](#) in particular are subject to complications with use of [halo-vests](#)<sup>12)</sup>.

Such orthoses may not be appropriate for patients who are morbidly obese, or who lack the necessary neurological function to avoid the formation of [decubitus ulcers](#)<sup>13)</sup>. Instead, [cranial traction](#) or [rigid cervical collars](#) should be supplemented in these cases with vigilant [nursing care](#)<sup>14)</sup>.

[Rigid cervical collars](#) avoid many of the potential complications of more restrictive orthoses at the cost of stability. Thus, patients must be simultaneously assessed for their ability to comply with various [immobilization](#) methods as well as their required degree of [stabilization](#). Occasionally, orthotic stabilization will result in nonunion or continued [instability](#), in which case surgical intervention is necessary. Stability is assessed after an appropriate course of immobilization, with flexion-extension radiographs. Greater than a 5 mm increase in the [atlantodental interval](#) is often considered unstable and may require surgical intervention<sup>15)</sup>.

## References

1), 2), 5)

Kakarla UK, Chang SW, Theodore N, Sonntag VK. [Atlas fractures](#). Neurosurgery. 2010 Mar;66(3 Suppl):60-7. doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000366108.02499.8F. PMID: 20173529.

3), 4), 10)

Lee TT, Green BA, Petrin DR. Treatment of stable burst fracture of the [atlas \(Jefferson fracture\)](#) with [rigid cervical collar](#). Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1998 Sep 15;23(18):1963-7. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199809150-00008. PMID: 9779528.

6)

Lauweryns P. Role of conservative treatment of cervical spine injuries. Eur Spine J. 2010 Mar;19 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S23-6. doi: 10.1007/s00586-009-1116-4. Epub 2009 Aug 8. PMID: 19669171; PMCID: PMC2899724.

7)  
8)

Benzel EC, Hadden TA, Saulsberry CM. A comparison of the Minerva and halo jackets for stabilization of the cervical spine. J Neurosurg. 1989 Mar;70(3):411-4. doi: 10.3171/jns.1989.70.3.0411. PMID: 2915248.

9)  
12)  
13)

Garrett M, Consiglieri G, Kakarla UK, Chang SW, Dickman CA. **Occipitoatlantal dislocation**. Neurosurgery. 2010 Mar;66(3 Suppl):48-55. doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000365802.02410.C5. PMID: 20173527.

11)

Shin JJ, Kim SJ, Kim TH, Shin HS, Hwang YS, Park SK. Optimal use of the halo-vest orthosis for upper cervical spine injuries. Yonsei Med J. 2010 Sep;51(5):648-52. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2010.51.5.648. PMID: 20635437; PMCID: PMC2908866.

14)

Benzel EC, Larson SJ, Kerk JJ, Millington PJ, Novak SM, Falkner RH, Wenninger WJ. The thermoplastic Minerva body jacket: a clinical comparison with other cervical spine splinting techniques. J Spinal Disord. 1992 Sep;5(3):311-9. PMID: 1520990.

15)

Mead LB 2nd, Millhouse PW, Krystal J, Vaccaro AR. C1 fractures: a review of diagnoses, management options, and outcomes. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. 2016 Sep;9(3):255-62. doi: 10.1007/s12178-016-9356-5. PMID: 27357228; PMCID: PMC4958388.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

[https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=atlas\\_fracture\\_nonoperative\\_management](https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=atlas_fracture_nonoperative_management)

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:56**

