

Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy

<html><iframe width="560" height="315" src="https://www.youtube.com/embed/BmnSaF67Jig" frameborder="0" allow="accelerometer; autoplay; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture" allowfullscreen></iframe></html>

Since the early 2000s, increasingly practical PECD techniques have been introduced because of advancements in working channel [endoscope](#) and surgical [instrument technology](#) ^{1) 2) 3) 4)}.

Anterior [percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#) (PECD) is an effective [minimally invasive surgery](#) for [soft cervical disc herniation](#) in properly selected cases ^{5) 6)}.

The PECD prototype is fluoroscopically guided percutaneous cervical disc decompression without endoscopic visualization, such as automated [nucleotomy](#) ^{7) 8)}.

Randomized controlled trials

Ahn et al. compared the surgical results of PECD and ACDF. Data from patients treated with single-level [PECD](#) (n = 51) or ACDF (n = 64) were analyzed. Patients were prospectively entered into the clinical [database](#) and their records were [retrospectively](#) reviewed. Perioperative data and clinical outcomes were evaluated using the [visual analogue scale](#) (VAS), [Neck Disability Index](#) (NDI), and modified [Macnab criteria](#). VAS and NDI results significantly improved in both groups. The rates of excellent or good results were 88.24% and 90.63% in the PECD and ACDF group, respectively. The revision rates were 3.92% and 1.56% in the PECD and ACDF group, respectively. Operative time, hospital stay, and time to return to work were reduced in the PECD group compared to the ACDF group (p < 0.001). The five-year outcomes of PECD were comparable to those of conventional ACDF. PECD provided the typical benefits of minimally invasive surgery and may be an effective alternative for treating soft cervical disc herniation ⁹⁾.

A total of 103 patients with ACDF or FADC were followed up for two years. In addition to general parameters specific measuring instruments were used. Postoperatively 85.9% of the patients no longer had arm pain, and 10.1% had occasional pain. There were no significant clinical differences between the decompression with or without fusion. The full-endoscopic technique afforded advantages in operation technique, rehabilitation and soft tissue injury. The recorded results show that FADC is a sufficient and safe alternative to conventional procedures when the indication criteria are fulfilled. At the same time, it offers the advantages of a minimally invasive intervention ¹⁰⁾.

Case series

[Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#) are associated with less approach trauma than conventional open [cervical spine surgery](#). The literature illustrating their appropriate use corroborated with objective outcome evidence is scarce. Hellinger et

al. were interested in comparing the clinical outcomes following [Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#).

Thirty patients with soft contained symptomatic cervical disc herniations and an average age of 50.5 years (range 26 - 68 years; 16 males and 14 females) were prospectively enrolled in 2 groups of 15 patients to be either treated with [Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#). All patients underwent [Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#) under local anesthesia and sedation. Clinical outcomes were assessed with the Macnab criteria VAS score for arm pain. Complications and reoperations were recorded.

There were significant reductions in the VAS score for arm pain from preoperative 8.4 ± 2.5 to 3.1 ± 1.2 in the PLDD group ($P < 0.03$), and from preoperative 8.6 ± 2.7 to 2.4 ± 1.1 ($P < 0.01$) in the PEDD group. In the PLDD group, Macnab outcomes were excellent in 21% of patients, good in 44%, fair in 21%, and poor in 14%. In the PEDD group, Macnab outcomes were excellent in 14% of patients, good in 32%, fair in 12%, and poor in the remaining 12%. There were no statistically significant differences in clinical outcomes between the PLDD and the PEDD group. There were no approach-related or surgical complications.

Tissue trauma is significantly reduced with laser and endoscopic surgery techniques. [Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#) are both suitable for the specific indication of soft, symptomatic contained [cervical disc herniations](#). This prospective cohort study indicates that [Anterior cervical laser discectomy](#) and [Anterior percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy](#) are options for cervical decompression surgery when medical comorbidities or preferences by patients and surgeons dictate more minimally invasive strategies ¹¹⁾.

References

1)

Chiu, J.C.; Clifford, T.J.; Greenspan, M.; Richley, R.C.; Lohman, G.; Sison, R.B. Percutaneous microdecompressive endoscopic cervical discectomy with laser thermodiskoplasty. Mt. Sinai. J. Med. 2000, 67, 278-282.

2)

Ahn, Y.; Lee, S.H.; Lee, S.C.; Shin, S.W.; Chung, S.E. Factors predicting excellent outcome of percutaneous cervical discectomy: analysis of 111 consecutive cases. Neuroradiology 2004, 46, 378-384.

3)

Ahn, Y.; Lee, S.H.; Shin, S.W. Percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy: clinical outcome and radiographic changes. Photomed. Laser Surg. 2005, 23, 362-368.

4)

Ahn, Y.; Lee, S.H.; Chung, S.E.; Park, H.S.; Shin, S.W. Percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy for discogenic cervical headache due to soft disc herniation. Neuroradiology 2005, 47, 924-930

5)

Lee, J.H.; Lee, S.H. Clinical and radiographic changes after percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy: a long-term follow-up. Photomed. Laser. Surg. 2014, 32, 663-668.

6)

Ahn, Y. Percutaneous endoscopic cervical discectomy using working channel endoscopes. Expert. Rev. Med. Devices 2016, 13, 601-610.

7)

Courtheoux, F.; Theron, J. Automated percutaneous nucleotomy in the treatment of cervicobrachial

neuralgia due to disc herniation. J. Neuroradiol. 1992, 19, 211–216.

8)

Bonaldi, G.; Minonzio, G.; Belloni, G.; Dorizzi, A.; Fachinetti, P.; Marra, A.; Goddi, A. Percutaneous cervical discectomy: preliminary experience. Neuroradiology 1994, 36, 483–486.

9)

Ahn Y, Keum HJ, Shin SH. Percutaneous Endoscopic Cervical Discectomy Versus Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: A Comparative Cohort Study with a Five-Year Follow-Up. J Clin Med. 2020 Jan 29;9(2). pii: E371. doi: 10.3390/jcm9020371. PubMed PMID: 32013206.

10)

Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Full-endoscopic anterior decompression versus conventional anterior decompression and fusion in cervical disc herniations. Int Orthop. 2009 Dec;33(6):1677-82. doi: 10.1007/s00264-008-0684-y. Epub 2008 Nov 18. PubMed PMID: 19015851; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2899164.

11)

Hellinger S, Knight M, Telfeian AE, Lewandrowski KU. Patient selection criteria for percutaneous anterior cervical laser versus endoscopic discectomy. Lasers Surg Med. 2022 Jan 6. doi: 10.1002/lsm.23514. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34989414.

From:

<https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/> - **Neurosurgery Wiki**

Permanent link:

https://neurosurgerywiki.com/wiki/doku.php?id=anterior_percutaneous_endoscopic_cervical_discectomy

Last update: **2024/06/07 02:55**

